L&R — PID 99803

Previous references.
No 4209 dy 6.9.01
(BD) 764

1906.
(Municipal)

File No. 57-02
Serial Nos. 145

Later references.
Ml 270-06 (IX2)
57-09 (IX2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 145

Part B. for November 1906

SUBJECT.

Application of Mr. K. Subba Rao for permission to close a lane between two sites that belong them in the Basavangudi Extension, B-ward

Memo. — No 8884 dy 22/23 7.03 from The D.C. Bangalore.
Submits for sanction application of Mr. K. Subbarao requesting permission to close a conservancy lane between two sites in his possession in Block No. VIII of the Basavangudi Extension.

Memo: No 433 dy 15.5.06 from The President, Munl. Comm., Bang. &c.
Submits a sketch showing a portion of Block No VIII containing therein Sites Nos. 78479 owned by Mr K. Subba Rao, &c.

G. R. B. 58

Sl.3 – No: 12410 dt 26 of 20 The President, Bangalore city, [illegible]
Rul: 51-02

Returns the Sketch referred to above and requests Submission of The ~~Opinions~~ opinions of the Surv. Engineer and the Board as to the advisability or otherwise of closing the said lane.

Sl.4 – No 59 dt 30.8.06 from The President, Bangalore city, [illegible]
7.9.06.

Submits the opinions as called for in the above.

Sl.5 – No: 4207-8 dt 21.11.06 To The President, Bangalore city, [illegible]
Rul: 51-02 and to the D.C. Bangalore District.

Directs that the lane be given to Mr: K. Subba Rao for a price to be calculated at the rate which would be the average of the prices paid for Sites 78 and 79, as noted.

Endorsed

C.S. Subba Rao

ffice of the Dewan of Mysore.

ile No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and ffice note in black ink in order f dates.—To be written only on ne side, and inside the line of argin.

Office Note.

Branch Local Boards Branch

Subject

Letter no S. 84 dt. the 22nd July 1903 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City to the Govt., Bangalore Dt.)

In the above letter the President reports that Sri V. Subbarao (Manager of the Revenue Commissioner's Office) has applied for permission to close a Conservancy lane which separates two sites belonging to him in the Basavanguḍi Extension of the City, and that as the lane serves no purpose so long as the two sites belong to the same owner and as the applicant is ready to execute a Muchalika to the effect that if ever his [illegible]

separates, he will give up the lane to Government and accordingly he sees no reason to refuse the applicant's request. The plan of the Exhibition having been formally approved by Government, he thinks he is unable to permit the applicant to close the lane without Government sanction, and accordingly returns orders in the matter.

2. Submitted for orders with draft allowing

Dng 3/11/03 2. [illegible] draft allowing

6/11/03

† The Dewan through the 2nd Councillor

8/11/03

A. S. Ch. Dng 10/11/03 3

Ex. C 10/11/03

12296/18.8.03

4 Dewan's orders recorded on the draft order:—

"Better to get a sketch
"on plan before this order
"is issued" 22 / P. M.K.

23.8.'03

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mc File No. 51 of 1902

Serial No.	
2	5. Letter No. 433 dated the 15th May 06 from the President Submits the sketch called for. 6. Submitted for orders ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

Serial No.

that he will also consult with the Sanitary Engineer.

10 Submitted for orders as to whether 12.9.02 there Government may be informed that Govt are not prepared to overrule this. Board's resolution in this matter This is not the first case of the kind to create any bad precedent In a similar case the Govt sanctioned the closing of a lane on the recommendation of the Plague Comm^r or 1st Commissioner.

Govt. Pro. No 4209 dated the 6th Sept 1901. P764

This was on the Complaint of Mr Lakshman Rao Manager Conservators Office. Please put up the papers in the Plague of L R. Branch.

13/9/02

11 The ~~reference~~ Vol containing Pro No 4209 P.W.D. P764 dy 6.9.01 is put up.

dy 20/9/01 This is not the first instance of the kind to apprehend any inconvenient precedents. When Mr Lakshman Rao Head Clerk of the Forest Conservators Office applied for

Note—Contn. sheet

G. .S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

ML File No. 51 of 1902

Serial No.

6 feet of ground out of the Conservancy lane to the north of his site on the ground that actual measurement of his site fell short of the area mentioned in the Sciph by 6 feet, Government ~~ordered~~ × ordered on a personal inspection of the Site by the then 1st Councillor (now Dewan) that the entire lane should be made over to the applicant.

Flag 5

The present application is less objectionable than the one above referred to as both the Sites belong to the same individual and the lane answers no purpose whatsoever as stated by Mr Sparkes in his letter No. 84/2 & 22nd Jng 1903. Orders are therefore solicited for the issue of the draft order passed by the late 1st Councillor so far back as 18th August 1903 bth the omission of the additions made by the Councillor as no object is gained in by keeping the Site between the two houses vacant.

(X Flag 1)

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 1st Councillor. JR

1165
7.10.1906

4/10/06

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Melane in Gnl Secrt

Serial No.

It appears to be correctly described.
It is stated that the lane between Nos 78 & 79 & marked D in the sketch.
Now, in the sketch there is no lane marked between sites 78 & 79, & the lane marked D does not lie between sites 78 & 79. If the plan & the discrepancy is available in the office, Clerk in charge of the file may please bring it up personally

[illegible]

B. Resubmitted.

There was evidently a mistake in numbering the sites. The Manager of the Municipal Offices has rectified the errors and noted correct nos. of sites on the sketch in blue pencil. This has been NL 24/10/06 rewritten in red ink. I have also personally seen the site and the alterations now made in red ink are correct.

Referred to 1st Committee.

JR
27/10/01

1253
27 10-06

Having seen the Sketch there is no objection to comply with the application. The lane in question may accordingly be given [illegible]

TE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

375

Serial No.

price B be calculated at ~~the amount~~ a rate which is the the average of the prices paid for sites 78 & 79 - [Price = Price of sites 78 & 79 / area of 78 & 79 × area of lane to be given]

NB 20/11/06

26/7/11/06 Dewan NB 20/11/06

15

The Dewan concurs with the 1st Cr

B of C & B 14/11/06

16 Draft Some order as Pt 14/11 proposed 15/11.

4 5 ch

17 Draft order submitted Mhd 17/11 Some 17/11/06

18.

5 & 6 The D.C. Cuddapah & Bangalore & President, M.C. Cuddapah & Bangalore City } No. G207-8 21/11/06

In reply to Sl: 1 & correspondence ending with Sl: 4, I have directed that the land be given to M.r Subba Rao for a price to be calculated at the rate which would be the average of the prices paid for sites 78 & 79, as noted in the margin

Price = Price of sites 78 & 79 / area of 78 & 79 × area of land to be given

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
25 JUL 1903
From

L 460

Mysore D. No. 99 of 1903

Sl. 1

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith for the information of your office and disposal, the papers noted in the margin.

Dated 24th July 1903
Despatched 25th July 1903
Received
General No.
S No. 84

Enclosure No. 2

Subject for sanction application of K Subbaiah requesting permission to give a conservancy lease between two sites in his possession in block No. VIII of the Basavanagudi extension.

L 2
24.7.03

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

S.R. No 84
Su: 2

Bangalore District,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Camp Anekal 22nd July 1903

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire
M.A.M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, herewith, Bangalore Taluk Amildar's Report No 270 of the 18th June 1903, forwarding the application of K. Subbarao, soliciting permission to close a Conservancy lane that falls between two sites in his possession and enjoyment, Nos 78 and 79 in block No VIII of the Basavangudi Extension. As this lane answers no purpose so long as the two sites belong to the same

owner

owner, and applicant is ready execute a kurchalika to the effect that if ever the sites are separated he will give up the lane to Government; I see no reason to refuse his request. The plan of the Basavanpur extension having been formally approved of by the Government, I am unable to permit the applicant to close the lane without Government sanction and therefore submit the Case with a request that Government sanction may be obtained in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Lt Gourley
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

F-8824 3

B. M. 109]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION.

City, Bangalore, 15th May 1906

No. 433

Encl: One Sketch

From

Encl: P.P. 30.99 of 1903 (2)

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

Sir,

X not in the file

With reference to your docket No. 952/L.F.51-02 dated the 28th: August 1903 to the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore I have the honor to submit herewith the sketch called for in the above, showing a portion of Block No. VIII in the Basavan--gudi Extension marking therein the sites Nos. 78 and 79 owned by Mr. K. Subba Rau and the Conservancy lane D between them which is proposed to be closed.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. D. Nagarkar

President.

1905-06 4

Municipal
J. No: 992/1903
8l 3

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To The President,
Munic. Commission

Dated Bangalore City,

Despatched } 2-6-
Received } May 1906

General No. 12410
Jud No. (51-02)-(3)

Enclosure No. 1

His letter No. 433 of the 15th May 1906 forwarding a sketch showing a portion of Block No. VIII in the Basavangudi Extension marking thereon sites Nos. 78 & 79 owned by Mr. K. Subba Rao and the Conservancy Land D between them which is proposed to be closed and made over to that gentleman.

In returning herewith the sketch referred to, he

5

the honour to request the
President to be good enough
to Consult the Sanitary ~~Dept~~ Engineer
~~Committee~~ and the
Board as to the advisability
or otherwise of closing
the said lane, and to
submit their opinions
together with remarks,
if ~~he~~ any, he may
have to offer thereon.

"By order

31.5.06

Secty

31/5/06

31/5/06

31/5/06

Jpl 1/6.

6

B.M. 108]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION

City Bangalore, 30th August — 7th September 1906

No. 59

Encls one & one Sketch

(4)

FROM

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

Municipal

4.20.99 of 1903

(D2375

8l.4

To.

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

8l

With reference to the correspondence ending with your docket No. 12410/M1.(51-02) dated the 2nd. June last regarding the closing of portion of the Conservancy lane between sites Nos. 78 and 79 belonging to Mr. K. Subba Rau in the Basavangudi Extension, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the Board's resolution dated the 30 Instant, deciding not to allow, on principle, the closing of the said lane.

2. The Sanitary Engineer has also expressed his opinion that it would be better not to create a precedent and not allow this to be done.

3. I quite concur with the Sanitary Engineer and think that if such a concession is granted in one instance there will be a number of applications of the kind and it would afterwards be difficult to deal with such cases.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Illegible

PRESIDENT.

Ex. BSL. 4 7

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board
dated the 30th August 1906.

x x x

2. The General and Revenue Secretary's docket No. 12410 –Ml. (51-02), dated the 3rd June 1906, calling for a report on the closing of a conservancy lane between sites Nos. 78 and 79 owned by Mr. K. Subba Rao in the VIII Block in the Basavangudi Extension.

Resolved that it would be a bad principle to adopt and therefore cannot be allowed.

(Sd). H.H.Sparkes, President.

'COPY'

President.

G.R.S. 28]

Municipal

P. No. 9976/1903

S. 5

File No. 51/02

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replid to 2375

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mb.)

Dated, the 17th Nov 1906

Date of issue 21.11.06

Signed by [illegible]

READ.—

Letter No. S. 84 dated the 22nd July 1903 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District submitting the Bangalore Taluk Amildar's report No. 210 dated the 18th June 1903 on the application of Mr. K. Subba Rao for permission to close the Conservancy lane which separates the two sites belonging to him in the Basavanagudi Extension of the Bangalore City, and stating

over

SUBJECT

sides no further use so long as the two sites belong to the same owner and as the applicant is ready to execute a Muchalka to the effect that if ever the sites are separated he will give up the lane to Govt, he sees no reason to refuse his request.

2) Correspondence ending with letter No 59 dated the 30th August 1906 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City submitting a copy of the Board's resolution deciding not to allow, on principle, the closing of the said lane, and stating that the Sanitary Engineer has also expressed his opinion that it would be better not to create a precedent and that

L.7.57-02 3

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

As the necessity for keeping the lane in its present condition ~~was~~ has been made out ~~yet~~ as no object is gained by retaining the lane ~~which belongs to applt~~ which answers no useful purpose on account of its situation between the sites belonging to the applicant are pleased to

(Price = Price of site 78 & 79 / Area of 78 & 79 × area of lane to be given.)

that he concurs in the Bangalore Commissioner's opinion.

Order thereon 4207-8 dt 21/11/06 mg 51-02

In a personal inspection of the site by the Ist Councillor, Government consider that there is no objection to complying with Mr Subbaraja's application. They accordingly direct that the land ~~asked for~~ be given to him for a price to be calculated at the rate which would be the average of the prices fixed for sites 78 and 79 as noted in the Margin

WS. 17/11/06. Forsell 5.12.06

To,

The Dy Comr, Bangalore dtd, reforwarding the Asstt's report & Connected vernacular papers.

a Presdt, Mily Comrs, Bangalore City, reforwarding the Sketch

Rejd 19/12 mrs 20/12

BGCPE—50,000—5-1-05.